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This Primefact gives an overview of liver fluke, including a review of recently published information. Also see NSW DPI Primefact 446, ‘Liver fluke disease in sheep and cattle’, by eminent fluke expert Dr JC Boray, for more information and many helpful images.

Summary

Liver fluke (Fasciola hepatica) is a parasite of the liver. It affects a range of animals including livestock (and occasionally humans).

Adult fluke live in the bile ducts where they produce eggs which are passed out in the faeces. Under warm, moist conditions, the eggs hatch and the resulting larvae infect intermediate host snails.

After developing and multiplying in snails, larvae are released and attach to herbage in wet areas. Animals get infected while grazing this herbage. The ingested larvae leave the intestines of the host and migrate to the liver, through which they wander for several weeks until they reach the bile ducts and become adults.

Liver fluke cause liver damage, anaemia and even death in severe cases. The risk of black disease is also increased (preventable by vaccination).

Control is by grazing management (restricting access of animals to wet, ‘flukey’ areas) and strategic treatments. One to three treatments with fluke drenches may be required, with the most important being in early winter.

There are some cases of fluke resistant to flukicides (‘fluke drenches’).

Tests available include a liver fluke egg count, a blood test (ELISA) for antibodies, and a test for fluke antigens in the faeces (coproantigen ELISA). These tests can be used to see if fluke are present in livestock, and to check if a treatment for fluke was effective.

Introduction

Liver fluke is a parasite affecting a range of livestock and other species. F. hepatica is the only Fasciola species in Australia. F. gigantica, which is common in nearby south-east Asian countries, does not occur in Australia.

Final hosts in which liver fluke can develop to sexual maturity include livestock such as sheep, cattle, horses, pigs, goats, alpacas and deer. Other species include kangaroos, wallabies,
rabbts, and humans. Animals other than sheep and cattle are not considered to be important hosts of *F. hepatica* unless, perhaps, eradication is attempted (Barger and others, 1978).

The wide range of mammalian hosts favours the maintenance and dispersal of the parasite (Barger and others, 1978).

People can be infected, for example by eating water cress from creeks in fluke-infested country. The World Health Organisation considers *F. hepatica* to be an important threat to human health in some developing countries.

Millions of sheep and cattle in Australia graze pastures where liver fluke is endemic, mainly in south eastern Australia (Boray, 2017).

### Economics

Liver fluke disease (fasciolosis) costs millions of dollars each year. A recent estimate of the annual cost of fluke to the Australian sheep industry was about 25 million dollars (Lane and others, 2015).

Globally, the parasite is estimated to cost €2.5 billion per year (Mazeri and others, 2016). Costs arise from lost production, stock deaths, and treatment and prevention. Liver fluke contributes to internal parasitism in general being ranked as one of the most economically important diseases of grazing livestock.

As with many worms, most of the economic cost of fasciolosis is from production losses due to more or less inapparent infections. Significant losses can occur before eggs are detectable in the faeces, which happens 2-3 months after initial infection.

Liver fluke can reduce milk production in dairy cattle by approximately 4-30%, and also lower herd fertility, depending on intensity of infection and the nutritional status of animals (Elliott and others, 2015). The thresholds for economic losses in dairy cattle are reported to be >25% of animals in a herd being infected, or approximately 30-40 flukes per animal, possibly as low as ten flukes in dairy cattle (Kelley and others, 2016).

Fanke and others (2017), in a study of German dairy cattle farms, found reduced milk yield in multiparous cows were the major reason for annual production losses due to gastrointestinal nematodes (GIN) (€13.33 per cow) and *F. hepatica* infections (€7.95 per cow). Added to this were annual costs for anthelmintic treatment against GIN infections in adult cows (€10.00 per cow), and *F. hepatica* infection associated annual costs due to repeated artificial insemination (€10.13 per cow) and prolonged calving intervals (€9.40 per cow).

### Incidence

The incidence of fasciolosis in the UK has reportedly increased in the last decade, with the distribution also changing to include previously drier areas. Reasons given for the changing epidemiology include climate change, increasing animal movements and resistance to the flukicide, triclabendazole (Mazeri and others, 2016. Also see Haydock and others, 2016).

Figure 3. Distribution of fasciolosis in Australia

Source: Barger and others, 1978; adapted from Boray, 1969

### Distribution of liver fluke in Australia

Liver fluke occurs in regions, and areas on individual farms, where the environment favours fluke eggs, the intermediate host snails and larval fluke.

Liver fluke is mostly limited to the higher rainfall (>600 mm annually) areas of

- NSW (typically the tablelands in the eastern part of the state, and nearby coastal areas to the east and slopes to the west)
- Victoria and Tasmania
- small areas in Queensland and South Australia.

Liver fluke may also be found in irrigation areas.

### Life cycle and biology

Adult liver fluke, which live in the bile ducts of the livers of affected final hosts, are large and leaf shaped, 30-50 mm long and 10 mm wide. The bile ducts thicken (epithelial hyperplasia) in
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Liver fluke are hermaphrodites (they have testes and ovaries) and are able to self- and cross-fertilize. Daily egg production has been estimated at 20,000-50,000, over a long period in sheep. Egg production declines in cattle as resistance develops after infection (Boray, 2017; Barger and others, 1978). The eggs are passed through the bile ducts, gallbladder, then into the intestines, finally being shed in the faeces. These undeveloped (undifferentiated) eggs can survive on pasture up to several months, even under freezing conditions.

The eggs develop (differentiate) and hatch in wet areas on pasture when mean daily temperatures are over about 10 degrees Celsius. The incubation period ranges from about 1.5-3 weeks in summer, up to 13 weeks in spring and autumn (Boray, 2017; Barger and others, 1978) but time intervals quoted by other sources vary. According to Taylor and others (2016), the optimal temperature range is 22-26 degrees C.

The microscopic ‘motile ciliated’ larvae (miracidia) only live for a few hours after emerging from the egg. They swim through water and burrow through the foot of intermediate host snails and into their body cavity. These are ‘lymaeid’ snails, most commonly Austropeplea (Lymnaea) tomentosa in Australia and New Zealand and, in the UK and Europe, most commonly the ‘mud snail’, Galba (syn. Lymnaea) trunculata, an amphibious snail with a wide distribution throughout the world (Taylor and others, 2016). A. tomentosa is a very efficient intermediate host, and can spread rapidly whenever physical and climatic factors are favourable (Barger and others, 1978).

(According to Ponder and others (2016), the Austropeplea genus needs to be revised. Further name changes for these lymnaeid snails are possible. Also see Lloyd and others, ‘Identifying liver fluke snails’ (Primefact 476, revised 2017) for more information).

Multiplication rates of snails, and the fluke larvae within, varies with temperature. Under most Australian conditions, the snails produce eggs, which then hatch, throughout most of the year, with reproduction rates lowest in winter, but increasingly markedly in spring through to autumn. Snails produce up to 3000 eggs a month and one generation of snails from egg to egg takes only about one month under optimal conditions. Austropeplea tomentosa survives in dry mud for at least one year, and tolerates low temperatures. The snail can move with and against the water current for long distances. (Boray, 2017; Barger and others, 1978). During winter months in colder areas, the snails hibernate, and development of fluke present in the snail is arrested until warmer conditions resume in spring.

Figure 4. Cercariae leave an infected snail-Austropeplea tomentosa

Once inside the snail, the fluke develop and multiply asexually through stages (sporocysts, rediae, daughter rediae, and cercariae). Larval development within the snail takes from one month (25 degrees) to 3 months (15 degrees). Hundreds up to several thousand cercariae can result from a single miracidium (Boray, 2017; Barger and others, 1978). Cercariae are released from the snail over a short period of time, probably several days (Williams and others, 2014).

The tadpole-like cercariae leave the snails, swimming until they attach to vegetation, on which they ‘encyst’ (i.e., form a tough protective cyst wall), becoming metacercariae, the infective stage (‘infective cyst’) of liver fluke.

Some research shows metacercariae (infective cysts) on herbage can remain infective for several months (up to 9-10 months) if conditions are cool and damp. Laboratory studies indicated they can withstand freezing (-20 to 0 degrees C), and diurnal freezing and thawing (Boray and Enigk, 1965), but lose infectivity rapidly as temperatures rise above 20-25 degrees. Their survival depends on moisture and moderate temperatures. Metacercariae will not survive for more than six weeks at 25 degrees, but can survive for eight weeks at temperatures of -2 degrees. It has been estimated, for example, that 50% of metacercariae will survive a normal UK winter. Heat and drought will kill metacercariae (COWS, 2016).

Regarding survival in hay or silage, research findings are variable, with some stating...
metacercariae survive for ‘shorter periods’ in low-moisture, well-cured hay, and die after 5-8 weeks of being ensiled in silage (Kelley and others, 2016). Others say it is unlikely that metacercariae will survive in silage, and that the survival of metacercariae in hay is equivocal, it not being clear if metacercariae will survive in hay produced under normal farm conditions. However, freshly cut grass should be regarded as a potential source of infection if harvested from fluke-contaminated pasture (COWS, 2016).

When grazing animals ingest metacercariae, immature flukes ‘excyst’ or ‘hatch’ in the duodenum then penetrate the wall of the small intestine, and make their way to the liver. Upon entering the liver, the young fluke are 1-2 mm long and lancet-like (Taylor and others, 2016).

The migration to the liver is sometimes misdirected, and ectopic flukes can be found in the lungs, especially in cattle (Radostits and others, 2007).

The immature fluke migrate through the ‘fleshy’ part (parenchyma) of the liver for about 6-8 weeks, destroying tissue and growing from 1.0 to 10 mm (Radostits and others, 2007). The time for transit to the liver (possibly several days), then through the liver on the way to the bile ducts, varies.

Both juveniles and adults feed by secreting enzymes (proteases) which break down blood and liver tissues (Williams and others, 2014). Production losses can be significant if not clinically apparent during the migration phase of juvenile flukes, hence the importance of triclabendazole, given its efficacy against ‘all stages’ (2 weeks and older) of susceptible liver fluke.

Fluke then enter the small bile ducts, and migrate to the larger ducts and, occasionally, the gall bladder. In the bile ducts, they mature (doubling in size (Radostits and others, 2017) and begin to produce eggs. The prepatent period, the time from initial infection until eggs are produced, is usually 2-3 months (10-12 weeks in cattle), depending on the fluke burden. The minimum period for the completion of one entire life cycle is about 17 weeks. More typically it takes 18-30 weeks (Mazeri and others, 2016).

Adult flukes may live in the bile ducts of sheep for years. According to Merck (2017), most are shed from cattle within 5–6 months. However, Radostits and others (2007) imply cattle can be carriers for longer periods.

**Immunomodulation**

Liver fluke can modulate the host animal’s immune system, possibly increasing susceptibility to other infections, for example *Salmonella* and *Clostridia* spp. Also recent evidence suggests diagnosis of bovine tuberculosis may be compromised in fluke-infected animals (Williams and others, 2014). Thankfully Australia is free of bovine TB.

**Host factors**

Horses and pigs are relatively resistant to infection by liver fluke. Horses overcome migrating fluke early, so that few reach the liver. Pigs resist migrating fluke once they have entered the liver (Radostits and others, 2007).

In sheep, there is no evidence of any acquired resistance to *Fasciola hepatica*. Acute and chronic fasciolosis can occur at any age (Boray, 2017); however adult sheep that are reinfected may suffer less liver damage (Barger and others, 1978).

Cattle have a natural resistance and, under normal conditions, clinical disease is only likely in young cattle. However, this resistance, which allows chronically infected cattle to spontaneously recover, and previously infected animals to partially resist reinfection, is due to a more intensive tissue reaction than in sheep, for example. This response results in a fibrous mechanical barrier (fibrosis) against re-infection, by impeding the usually preferred migration of young flukes into the ventral lobe of the liver. The subsequent enlargement (hypertrophy) of the right lobe helps the host survive by leaving sufficient undamaged liver tissue. In chronic cases, mineralisation (calcification) of, and fibrosis around, bile ducts also causes the elimination of liver flukes. Calcification tends to be minimal or absent in sheep (Boray, 2005; Boray, 2017).

Despite cattle being more resistant to liver fluke disease (fasciolosis) than sheep, it is only possible because of chronic fibrotic changes in the liver, as already mentioned. One source (http://cattleparasites.org.uk) states there is little evidence that cattle develop immunity as such to fluke infection. Infection can be picked up at any time and animals can be repeatedly infected. However, even with a small number of fluke present, there may be production losses (Boray, 2017) if not overt disease.

Grazing behaviour is another important factor when considering fluke disease. Cattle willingly graze wet areas including fluke habitats at any time, while sheep and goats tend to graze them
Liver fluke disease (fasciolosis)

Fasciolosis should be considered when there are deaths, anaemia or ill thrift in sheep or cattle that are or have been grazing on fluke-prone country (Boray, 2017).

Another common cause of deaths and anaemia, especially in goats, sheep and alpacas, is haemonchosis, caused by barber’s pole worm (*Haemonchus* sp). Anaemia and ill thrift due to ‘eperythrozoonosis’ (mycoplasmosis), caused by the blood parasite *Mycoplasma (Eperythrozoon) ovis*, is another possibility in young sheep.

Liver fluke disease can be acute, sub-acute or chronic, depending on the size or intensity of the infection and how quickly it is acquired. Disease is due to haemorrhage and tissue damage from migrating immature fluke, and from damage to bile ducts and blood loss due to adult fluke. In cattle, less so in sheep, the duct walls become greatly thickened and often calcified (Merck).

**Acute fasciolosis:** death may occur, with or without abdominal pain, jaundice and anaemia. This is due to large numbers of immature, wandering flukes destroying liver tissue and causing haemorrhage. Acute disease is seldom seen in cattle (Barger and others, 1978).

**Sub-acute fasciolosis:** jaundice, ill thrift, anaemia, and possibly death after several weeks. Liver damage and haemorrhage is exacerbated by the reparative tissue reaction which prolongs fluke migration and causes further mechanical damage (Barger and others, 1978).

**Chronic fasciolosis:** the most common form in sheep, goats and cattle, and particularly in more resistant hosts, such as horses and pigs (Boray, 2017). The slowly developing clinical signs include anaemia, loss of appetite, and ‘bottle jaw’ (submandibular oedema, resulting from low blood protein), largely due to fluke in the bile ducts. Bile ducts thicken and the liver becomes cirrhotic (scarred). Chronic fasciolosis can occur at any time but is often most evident in autumn and winter.

In sheep, fluke burdens of more than 100 fluke are potentially lethal, the sheep dying from chronic fasciolosis. One thousand fluke generally cause death from acute or sub-acute disease (Barger and others, 1978).

**Black disease** (infectious necrotic hepatitis): This is an acute and fatal liver disease of ruminants such as sheep, especially, but also cattle. It is caused by a toxin produced by the bacterium *Clostridium novyi*. Tissue destruction by wandering flukes may create a microenvironment favouring activation of clostridial spores (Merck, 2016). Black disease is preventable by vaccination.

**Treatment and prevention**

Control is by means of grazing management and strategic treatment with flukicides.

Flukicides are anthelmintics that are effective against liver fluke. See Table 1.

Extra ‘tactical’ treatments may be required, as indicated by diagnostic tests, along with clinical signs and loss of productivity.

In the future, with further research and improved diagnostic tests, targeted selective treatments (TST) (see below) may be an additional option.

**Grazing management**

As ‘flukey’ areas are confined to certain parts of a farm, grazing of these areas can be managed or even precluded. For example, grazing by the most vulnerable stock, sheep, goats, alpacas and young cattle, can be minimised.

Boray (2017) discusses a rotational grazing program once recommended in Australia to eliminate infection. However, the system was never widely adopted.

In this system, an effective drench is used about two weeks before moving stock to potentially contaminated areas. The second step is to alternate the grazing between fluke-infected areas and fluke-free areas.

Grazing in infected areas is restricted to a period of six weeks, which is less than the time for fluke
entering the liver to reach maturity and produce eggs.

In fluke-free areas, animals are grazed for longer periods. Here, any fluke picked up on the fluke-infested paddocks reach the adult stage (certainly by 12+ weeks after infection) but are removed by drenching about two weeks before stock are moved back to contaminated pastures.

The main obstacle with this strategy was the difficulty in organising pasture rotation and the problems of moving fences or erecting new fences.

However, Boray (2017) argued the system could be easily applied to properties where only a small number of paddocks had suitable snail habitats. In mixed grazing properties the more resistant cattle could be grazed on the known fluke-prone areas, on the basis that these animals are less likely to be affected and thus requiring less treatment.

Strategic treatments

Strategic treatments can help reduce liver fluke populations. One to three treatments may be needed per year, depending on the severity of the problem.

Early winter treatment

April-May is the most important strategic fluke drench in southeast Australia. At this time, the most effective fluke drench is the treatment of choice, partly because burdens could be heavy, and made up of a mix of adult and immature fluke. This means a triclabendazole (TCBZ)-based drench (optimally an oral formulation and optimally triclabendazole plus oxfendazole).

In cattle two other similarly effective flukicide products are available in Australia. These are the injectable products containing the unrelated flukicides, clorsulon and nitroxynil: Nitrofluke®, and also Nitromec®, the latter having a third ingredient, the broad-spectrum active, ivermectin.

As always, read and follow instructions on product labels.

Early spring treatment

The next most important strategic drench, if required, is in spring, around August-September. Adult flukes are likely to dominate infection at this time so a drench other than triclabendazole should suffice, resistance excepted. Using a non-TCBZ drench at this time means TCBZ is used less frequently, hopefully resulting in less selection for resistance to this active. Also, given that most non-TCBZ flukicides are only effective against adults +/- late immature fluke, a proportion of the fluke (juveniles < 6-8 weeks old) in the animal possibly will not be selected for resistance.

In beef cattle, if TCBZ was used in early winter then a nitrooxynil plus clorsulon combination could be used in early spring (or summer), and vice versa.

Summer treatment

Properties badly affected by fluke could well need a third strategic drench, in summer.

Dairy cattle

Boray (2017) says this about treatment of dairy cattle:

“Treat young heifers and dry cows with a suitable anthelmintic effective against immature fluke, i.e., triclabendazole, and follow the above plan for beef cattle.

Products registered for use in lactating cows (oxytetracycline plus levamisole, clorsulon plus ivermectin, and clorsulon) are only effective against adult fluke aged 12-14 weeks or older. (The registered product that contains clorsulon alone is not currently available).

If paddocks on your property are heavily contaminated and are being grazed, you may need to treat lactating cows monthly during summer and autumn. Products to use are oxytetracycline plus levamisole, or ivermectin plus clorsulon, both these being registered for use in lactating dairy cattle. Either of these drenches also controls susceptible gastrointestinal nematodes, as well as lungworm infections on the occasions they are a problem.

On heavily contaminated pastures, good control of fasciolosis may require a triclabendazole treatment immediately after drying off, as well as a month before calving. The two treatments may especially be warranted if a less effective flukicide is used. If the pre-calving treatment is considered necessary (check with your advisor), consider the possibility that the estimate of calving date may be incorrect, or the cow may calve early, either of which could result in the treatment being too close to calving, with consequent residue issues.

Note also that some products containing triclabendazole can be used in dairy cattle, but with restrictions, whereas some (but not all) triclabendazole-based products which also contain a broad-spectrum active may be precluded from use in animals that are producing or may produce milk products for human consumption. Assume nothing; check the label"
Targeted selective treatment (TST)
The current approach to managing liver fluke, as described in this Primefact, consists of grazing management, strategic treatments, and added ‘tactical’ treatments as necessary.

Future hopes, in no particular order, might include vaccines (see below), improved diagnostic tests, TST and novel anthelmintics.

In recent decades, there has been increased interest in using targeted selective treatment (TST) to manage internal parasites of grazing livestock, given that most of the parasites in a group of animals tend to be carried by a minority of animals. The ‘trick’ is accurately and economically identifying animals that need treatment.

The principle is that good parasite control can be achieved by treating the minority that need it, with an added potential benefit of reduced selection for anthelmintic resistance.

With a minority rather than a whole group of animals being treated, a greater proportion of the parasite population is ‘in refugium’ (plural, ‘refugia’), i.e., not exposed to the anthelmintic, and therefore not selected for resistance to that anthelmintic.

A well-known example of this is use of the FAMACHA© system (van Wyk and Barth, 2002), a non-invasive method of detecting anaemia, in the control of the haematophagous (‘blood-eating’) nematode *Haemonchus contortus* (barber’s pole worm) in small ruminants.

Liver fluke (*Fasciola hepatica*), of course, is also a ‘blood-eater’, and anaemia is commonly, if not invariably, a feature of fasciolosis (liver fluke disease).

With this in mind, Olah and others (2015) investigated the potential use of FAMACHA as a decision support tool to guide TST in chronic fasciolosis in sheep. The research was done on 288 sheep in the UK in winter, when fluke burdens are mostly mature. Measurements included FAMACHA score (eye colour), fluke counts, nematode egg counts, and packed cell volume (PCV) (haematocrit), which is a simple lab test to detect anaemia. The authors found a strong correlation between FAMACHA score and fluke burden in their study, but these variables were only moderately correlated with PCV.

Olah and others (2015) concluded that their study furnished proof of principle for the use of FAMACHA as a decision support tool to guide TST in chronic fasciolosis in sheep. However, they did discuss various issues, including the fact that there are other causes of anaemia in small (and large) ruminants. Another issue is the risk, in untreated sheep, of acute or sub-acute fasciolosis, in which clinically evident anaemia may not always be a feature.

The hope remains however, that future research will produce improvements in sustainably managing fasciolosis, possibly including TST.

Monitoring and diagnosis using tests
The clinical and economic importance of fasciolosis or liver fluke disease (first reported in 1379) has been recognised for centuries, but diagnostic tests are far from perfect (Mazeri and others, 2016. See Table 2).

Regular monitoring for liver fluke infections should be done. For monitoring, and for diagnosis in the face of clinical disease, testing options include:

Liver fluke egg counts
This requires the collection of faecal samples and obviously only detects the presence of adult flukes. The oval, ‘operculated’ (having a lid or cap), golden brown eggs (130–150 × 65–90 microns) must be distinguished in the lab from those of paramphistomes (stomach flukes), which are larger and clear (Merck). The sensitivity (ability to detect true positives) is not high and ranges from 30-70% (Woodgate and others, 2016; Williams and others 2014), depending on the amount of faeces used. Specificity (ability to detect true negatives) is close to 100%, if technicians are skilled at distinguishing fluke eggs from other, similar eggs. Fluke egg counts do not give much information on the size of the fluke burden in the animal.

Composite (bulk) faecal egg counts can be informative, in beef herds for example. Dung samples are collected from 10 animals and a number of composite egg counts are performed at the lab. This indicates whether the parasite is present in the herd and allows further investigation. However, fluke egg shedding can be intermittent and the absence of eggs does not necessarily mean that animals are fluke-free, as they may be harbouring immature liver fluke (COWS, 2016).

The egg counting method for liver fluke is different from that used for gastrointestinal nematodes (roundworms). The latter have less dense eggs, which float in solutions such as saturated salt (NaCl solution (specific gravity
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Antibody-detection ELISA

Blood samples are used for this test and, in the case of dairy cattle, milk samples. The sensitivity and specificity of most antibody-detection ELISAs (enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays) is high, ranging from 86-100% and 83-96% respectively (Williams and others, 2014), and the test has the advantage of detecting infection early, from about 4 weeks post-infection. However, antibodies can remain detectable for up to 12 weeks or more after successful treatment for fluke (Hutchinson, 2003; Brockwell et al., 2013, cited by Woodgate and others, 2016).

Bulk tank milk ELISAs are routinely used in dairy herds around the world to establish if a herd has been exposed to fluke. They indicate high, moderate and low levels of exposure and can be done routinely to monitor infection and efficacy of control programs (COWS, 2016).

Coproantigen ELISA (faecal fluke antigen ELISA or cELISA)

This relatively new test is based on a monoclonal antibody to enzymes (proteases known as cathepsins) produced by liver fluke. The test, which employs a European diagnostic kit (BIO-X Diagnostics, Belgium), is available from NSW DPI’s veterinary laboratory at Menangle, and the Charles Sturt University veterinary laboratory at Wagga Wagga, NSW. It has been validated in Australia for use in cattle at least, tests showing a good correlation between coproantigen ELISA results and liver fluke burden, i.e., the test gives a good indication of intensity of infection.

Testing of bulk (combined or composite) samples instead of individual samples may be investigated to reduce cost of testing. Brockwell and others (2013), found in their studies on cattle that the coproantigen ELISA still detected a low infection in one animal when its faecal sample was mixed with up to 4 negative samples.

The test has shown high sensitivity (few false negatives) and specificity (few false positives) (Elliott and others, 2015).

According to Williams and others (2014), the test detects infections 2–4 weeks before eggs appear in the faeces.

Or, to put it another way, the test does not detect fluke until 5 or more weeks after infection (Brockwell and others, 2013). Generally it detects fluke from 6–9 weeks (cattle and sheep) post-infection, i.e. about the time fluke are in the bile ducts.

Samples can be frozen and tested later, thus allowing batching of samples. Various researchers have found that the coproantigen test is not adversely affected when done on samples from sheep and cattle that were previously frozen (Brockwell and others, 2014)

Regardless of whether fresh or previously frozen samples are used, coproantigen levels in samples collected at different times from individual animals can vary (2–6 fold) from day to day (Brockwell and others, 2013). A similar day-to-day variation occurs when doing fluke egg counts.

Other

Liver enzymes (GGT (increases with bile duct damage) and GLDH) in the blood may also be raised, but these are not specific to fluke-related liver disease.

Other tests for liver fluke, for example the ‘LAMP’ assay, may be options in the future. (LAMP (loop-mediated isothermal amplification) is a very specific, efficient, and rapid gene amplification procedure in which the reaction can run at a constant temperature, and avoids some of the drawbacks of the standard PCR (polymerase chain reaction) (Martínez-Vallada and Rojo-Vázquez, 2016).

Other blood tests can detect anaemia, jaundice and hypoproteinaemia (low blood protein), but clinical examination of affected animals often detects these signs as well. And then of course there are post mortem findings: liver pathology consistent with fluke disease, with or without the presence of the fluke themselves.

Parasites such as Haemonchus (barber’s pole worm), the blood parasite Mycoplasma (Eperythrozoon) ovis, mineral deficiencies (copper, cobalt) and other conditions need to be considered when investigating possible fluke disease.

Measuring productivity, e.g. weight gains, milk yield, is useful for many reasons, one being that it can be an indicator that parasites are possibly having an impact.

Genetics aside, the two most important causes of ill thrift in grazing livestock are parasites and poor nutrition.

Whatever lab test is used, good times to test are April, August, and January, or thereabouts, or...
when disease or production losses from liver fluke are suspected.

**More information on lab tests**

**Flukicides – efficacy and resistance**

Resistance to flukicides has been reported in various countries, including Australia. See Table 1.

*Triclabendazole*, which has been used since the 1980s, is the only flukicide active available for sheep and cattle that is effective against early immature, immature and adult fluke (>98% effective against adults), at least when oral formulations are used. In dairy cattle, it is only used at drying off time due to its long milk withholding period.

Other single-active flukicides are only effective against stages in the bile ducts, i.e., adults (>10-12 weeks old) or adults as well as late immature stages (>8 weeks or > 6-8 weeks old, depending on the product). The lowered efficacy of a number of the salicylanilides (e.g. closantel) and substituted phenols (e.g. nitroxynil) against juvenile flukes — compared, say, to triclabendazole - may be due to the high protein binding of these drugs in the blood (Merck Veterinary Manual).

The nitroxynil/clorsulon combination, available only for cattle in Australia, is also effective against early immature fluke (see table 1), unlike either of the actives (nitroxynil or clorsulon, at usual dose rates) acting on their own.

Boray and De Bono (1989) published the first reports of *Fasciola hepatica* resistance to flukicides under field and laboratory conditions. They surveyed sheep farms in Australia and, on 60% of the farms, found resistance (markedly reduced efficacy compared to susceptible strains) of 6 week old fluke to the salicylanilide *rafoxanide*, with side-resistance to *closantel*, which is also a salicylanilide. These fluke strains retained their resistant status in cattle. There was also cross-resistance to *nitroxynil*, which is a phenol; however resistance was manifested in immature fluke, rarely in adults. There was no side resistance to *oxyclozanide*, even though it, like rafoxanide and closantel, is a salicylanilide (Fairweather and Boray, 1999). According to the UK’s Veterinary Medicine Directorate, there have been no reports (as of September 2016) of resistance to oxyclozanide.

Kelley and others (2016; see Table 1), cite Novobilský and Höglund (2015) as the only confirmed case of *closantel* resistant fluke. This case was in cattle in Sweden, and related to a topical (pour on) formulation containing closantel and ivermectin. However, Boray and De Bono (1989) reported closantel resistant liver fluke almost 30 years earlier (sheep and cattle, Australia).

The first confirmed case of *TCBZ* resistance in the world was in Victoria, Australia, reported by Overend and Bowen (1995).

In 1999, Fairweather and Boray said resistance was not a major issue, but proposed various ways of managing it, including grazing management, use of combinations (of unrelated flukicides) in particular, and other strategies.

Now, however, according to Kelley and others (2016), the situation worldwide is serious, largely due to *TCBZ* resistance becoming common in many countries.

**Monitor flukicide efficacy**

If using an oral triclabendazole (TCBZ)-based formulation in a susceptible liver fluke population, and since TCBZ kills most stages of fluke in host animals, a significant reduction (>95%) in egg count or coproantigen (faecal fluke antigen) ELISA should occur when testing post-treatment (Kelley and others, 2016).

(Note that a flukicide under current Australian standards is classed as ‘effective’ when the efficacy is >90% (>95% in the case of gastrointestinal nematodes)).

The other products in Australia that kill early immature, immature and adult flukes are the two injectable formulations for cattle that contain the nitroxynil/clorsulon flukicide combination (+/- ivermectin).

Apart from that, other flukicides on the market are only effective against adult fluke, or late immature as well as adult fluke.

Tests useful for checking flukicide efficacy:

- Fluke worm egg count. (Faecal samples required)
- Liver fluke antigen ELISA (coproantigen test). (Faecal samples required).

The antibody ELISA (which detects serum antibodies to fluke antigen), although very useful for the early detection of infection, is of no value in field resistance testing because the antibodies
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persist long after treatment (Brockwell and others, 2013).

Following are some ways of checking flukicide efficacy:

**Method 1**

Do an egg count on day zero (the day animals are treated with a flukicide), then again 21 days later. (Some advisors prefer day 28. Wood and others (1995) suggest day 21).

**Method 2**

This is a variation on the first method.

If testing an adulticide (a chemical that only kills the adult stage), move livestock to a fluke-free paddock and leave there for at least 12 weeks. This ensures that all of the liver fluke in the animals become adults prior to treating and testing (Elliott and others, 2015). Along with the first method, this approach is also suitable for testing triclabendazole (TCBZ). Even though it is effective against all stages of fluke (early immature, immature, adult), when resistance does occur to this active, all stages are affected (Boray, 2005b).

**Method 3: more intensive testing**

An alternative is to do more intensive sampling, as done by Elliott and others (2015) in their research work. Their plan was to sample on-farm at days 0, 14, 35, 57 and 77. (Sampling was meant to happen at day 14, but weather conditions pushed this out to day 17).

The sampling 14 days post-treatment was to check for efficacy against adults. If using egg counts, a potential disadvantage of sampling at this time is that there could still be eggs remaining in the gall bladder, even if all adults had been killed by the treatment. Regarding the coproantigen test, various authors (see below) report that this test usually becomes negative by 14 days after successful removal of fluke, but some still suggest testing at day 21 is preferable.

After the sampling at 2 weeks post-treatment by Elliott and others (2015), the subsequent sampling times (approximately 5, 8 and 11 weeks post-treatment) were to check efficacy against immature stages. While this or similar protocols may be used in a research setting, it could be too onerous to do on-farm when initially testing effectiveness of flukicides.

**Further comments on testing for resistance**

Unfortunately, testing flukicide efficacy is less straightforward then checking for resistance of roundworms to broad-spectrum drenches.

Novobilský and Höglund (2015) discuss various issues relating to testing for flukicide resistance. A problem with fluke egg counts is the irregular release of *F. hepatica* eggs. Also they state that the commercial coproantigen ELISA can give both false-negative (Gordon et al., 2012; Novobilský and others, 2012; Brockwell and others, 2013) and false-positive results (Flanagan and others, 2011), making interpretation of flukicide efficacy complicated, especially under field conditions. Finally, they say there is an urgent need for standardised protocols for evaluation of flukicide efficacy, especially for thresholds applied for egg counts and the coproantigen ELISA.

Brockwell and others (2014; page 52) discuss advantages of testing at 21 days post-treatment. It allows time for:

- removal of adult fluke post-treatment and
- removal of eggs in the gall bladder, and
- for immature fluke surviving treatment to mature to antigen production. (If use of the coproantigen test is contemplated).

A disadvantage is the long wait.

Brockwell and colleagues then discuss the ‘pros and cons’ of testing earlier than 21 days, e.g. 7 days or 14 days post-treatment, the tests being faecal fluke egg counts, and/or the coproantigen ELISA test. Brockwell and others (2013) found both egg counts and coproantigen levels fell to zero or negative values within 7 days of successful TCBZ treatment of artificially infected cattle. Flanagan et al. (2011) reportedly used a 14 day interval for egg counts and the coproantigen test in sheep and found antigen levels generally fell to zero by 14 days post-treatment. For those interested in testing albendazole’s efficacy against liver fluke, Novobilský and others (2012) state a 7 day interval may not be appropriate when testing albendazole (possibly because it takes some time for the fluke to die?).

Alas, misdiagnosing resistance may even happen on occasions when testing at 21 days, but for different reasons. For example, when adulticides (drugs that only kill adult fluke) are tested, and the re-test is done 21 days after treatment, the egg counts and fluke antigen may not always fall to zero, even if the treatment killed all adults. This is because the adulticide is not effective against young fluke, and some of these may mature sufficiently over the 21 days to produce eggs and antigens at the time of retesting (Kelley and others, 2016). Method 2 above, which allows all fluke to mature to adulthood, gets around this problem.
Novobilský and Höglund (2015), citing various authors, again remind us that 'lack of flukicide efficacy' does not necessarily mean resistance (Fairweather, 2011b). Under-dosing, inadequate storage of the anthelmintic, metabolic changes, improperly applied anthelmintic and co-infection with rumen flukes may also explain observed treatment failure (Fairweather, 2011b; Skuce and Zadoks, 2013; Hanna et al., 2015). They further state that lack of standardised guidelines, including treatment thresholds, for resistance in *F. hepatica* at times makes identification of 'true resistance' and 'treatment failure' uncertain.

Managing resistance
To manage resistance and avoid undue reliance on drenches, consider the following:

Monitor fluke burdens and productivity
Check for liver fluke infections and their effects by testing for fluke and monitoring productivity of animals in order to avoid unnecessary drenching.

Use flukicides well; consider combinations
Use products correctly, according to label, and at the right dose rates.

Use effective flukicides, which necessarily means there will be regular testing to monitor flukicide efficacy.

As discussed above, under ‘Treatment’, rotate between unrelated flukicides or, probably better, use combinations of unrelated flukicides when possible, as advocated by Fairweather and Boray (1999). Admittedly, in the absence of good data, we are extrapolating from evidence relating to broad-spectrum drenches in sheep. This evidence suggests combinations of effective and unrelated actives are more successful at delaying resistance than using single-active drenches sequentially (the same drench each time stock are treated, possibly until the drench fails) or in some sort of rotation (for example, drench A on this occasion, with an unrelated drench (drench B) being used on the next occasion).

But we have limited options when it comes to available combination flukicides, so we have to settle for best rather than perfect choices.

Most importantly, good decisions about rotations or combinations cannot be made until you determine, by testing, what flukicides are effective on your property.

Grazing management
Use grazing management to reduce unnecessary exposure of vulnerable animals (small ruminants, alpacas, young cattle) to liver fluke, and so reduce the number of treatments needed.

Quarantine
A quarantine strategy helps avoid importing drench-resistant fluke through animals brought on to your farm. This is important if your farm has liver fluke, or if you farm is fluke-free but is able to support the parasite because the ‘right’ snails are present. Even if liver fluke cannot establish on your property, it is good, for reasons of health and productivity, to ‘clean out’ fluke-infected animals which have been brought in. (In sheep at least, liver fluke can live for years).

Bear in mind other biosecurity issues relating to imported animals, including drench-resistant roundworms, and other pests and diseases.

Ideally use a combination flukicide that is effective against all stages of fluke. The ones available in Australia are triclabendazole plus oxfendazole, with the additional option in cattle of flukicides containing nitroxynil plus clorsulon. Be aware, however, that resistance has now been reported to many of the available flukicides.

If a flukicide only effective against adult +/- late immature fluke is used, a follow-up treatment 6 – 10 weeks later (depending on the drench that was used) will be required to remove juvenile fluke surviving the first treatment.

Or, hold the cattle for 12 weeks on the quarantine paddock, assuming it is fluke-free. This allows all fluke to mature. Then treat once with the drench, followed by a test 3 weeks later to make sure the treatment was effective.

It is best to keep imported cattle in a ‘non-flukey’ quarantine paddock for long enough to complete treatments and to allow testing after the quarantine treatment. This will also aid in the management of other pests and diseases potentially imported with the livestock.

With triclabendazole resistance, all stages of fluke are affected, so a fluke egg count to check efficacy could be done as soon as 3 weeks after the quarantine treatment, as well as on the day of treatment.

The faecal antigen test is an alternative and may well be the test of choice in this situation as it is more sensitive – better at detecting true positives – than the fluke egg count. This test is also done on the day of treatment and 21 days later.
Vaccines?
Kelley and others (2016) state that there is no commercially available liver fluke vaccine. However, there are several experimental vaccines for livestock being developed. No vaccine has consistently shown efficacy at a level (>60%) in cattle to warrant commercial production, although one vaccine in sheep (the leucine aminopeptidase (LAP) vaccine) has shown high efficacy, up to 89%.

However, the authors state that a vaccine with only partial efficacy (50–60%) may still provide economic benefits to producers experiencing infection with TCBZ-resistant fluke. The benefits will depend on the intensity of fluke infection in a herd. But remember that economic losses in dairy cattle can occur with just 30–40 flukes, possibly as few as ten.

Following pages: appendix, including tables and notes.
### Appendix – tables and extra notes

This section contains further information, which may be of interest to professional advisers and producers wishing to delve deeper.

Table 1. Flukicides available for sheep and/or cattle worldwide, including notes on efficacy and resistance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Active ingredient</th>
<th>Route of admin.</th>
<th>Safety index&lt;sup&gt;B,F&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Age of fluke killed&lt;sup&gt;B&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Age of fluke killed&lt;sup&gt;K&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Reports of resistance on-farm worldwide&lt;sup&gt;K&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Benzimidazole derivative</strong></td>
<td><strong>Triclabendazole (TCBZ) and combinations</strong></td>
<td>Oral; pour-on</td>
<td>20 (TCBZ)</td>
<td>≥ 2 weeks</td>
<td>≥ early immature</td>
<td>30 cases (TCBZ)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Benzimidazole</strong></td>
<td><strong>Albendazole</strong></td>
<td>Oral; intra-ruminal</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>≥ 12 weeks</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>3 cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Salicylanilide</strong></td>
<td><strong>Closantel</strong></td>
<td>Oral; injectable; pour-on</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>≥ 8 weeks</td>
<td>≥ late immature</td>
<td>1 case</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Salicylanilide</strong></td>
<td><strong>Oxyclozanide</strong></td>
<td>Oral</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>≥ 12 weeks</td>
<td>≥ adult</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Substituted phenol</strong></td>
<td><strong>Nitroxynil</strong></td>
<td>Injectable</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>≥ 10 weeks</td>
<td>≥ adult</td>
<td>1 case</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sulphonamide</strong></td>
<td><strong>Clorsulon</strong></td>
<td>Oral; injectable</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>≥ 12 weeks (Inject.)</td>
<td>Oral, ≥ late immature; Injectable, ≥ adult</td>
<td>3 cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>(Combination)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Nitroxynil + clorsulon</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>(Combination)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Closantel + oxfendazole</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>≥ 6 weeks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes on table and additional notes:

Table adapted from Boray and others (2007, updated 2017), Fairweather and Boray, 1999, and Kelley and others, 2016. (See references).


**Label claims** for efficacy can vary as a result of differences in formulation and regulatory requirements in different countries.

**AU** = Australia. **Boray’s notes** on efficacy (age of fluke killed) relate to the Australian standard which defines >90% as ‘effective’ in relation to drugs acting on *Fasciola hepatica* (c.f. 95% for roundworms).

‘Fluke killed’: This means >90% killed, although efficacy may be higher. For example triclabendazole given orally kills >95% of susceptible adult *F. hepatica*.

‘Reports of resistance’: these are peer-reviewed published reports. Added to this there are also ‘anecdotal’ reports, with varying degrees of confirmatory evidence. **Resistance misdiagnosed**: Sometimes resistance is misdiagnosed. If faecal egg counts are used, this could be due to fluke eggs still remaining in the gall bladder at the time of the post-treatment test. Another possible cause of...
misdiagnosis, in the case of adulticides, is flukes that were immature (not laying eggs) at the time of testing, became mature enough to produce eggs at the time of the post-treatment test.

NR = not reported.

**Albendazole (ABZ):** the recommended dose rate for roundworms in sheep in Australia (AU) is 3.8mg/kg live weight; for liver fluke it is 25% higher (4.75 mg/kg). To kill liver fluke, Bowman (2003) suggests 4.75 -7.5 mg/kg (sheep) and 10 mg/kg (cattle), both orally, but using this dose may constitute an ‘off-label’ use in AU. If using ABZ (not registered in AU for use in alpacas) in crias (young alpacas), especially at higher than label-recommended doses rates, consider that albendazole toxicity in crias has been reported.

**Combination** here means a combination of two or more unrelated flukicides. The currently available combinations in Australia are TCBZ + oxfendazole (OFZ), and nitroxynil + clorsulon (+/- ivermectin). (OFZ-based drenches generally have no claim for liver fluke, but the literature (Boray) indicates OFZ is effective against 16 week old fluke). Previously closantel + OFZ (Closicomb®, Rotafluke®) was available in AU, but no longer. This was more effective than closantel alone, or closantel + albendazole (Fairweather and Boray, 1999; Boray and others, 2007). See notes below on ‘synergism’.

All the anthelmintics (apart from Closicomb®) in the table are available in Australia, but not all formulations, e.g. an oral formulation may be available and not the injectable, or vice versa. Some actives are only available as part of a combination. Some products or actives are registered, e.g. clorsulon alone; nitroxynil alone; but are not commercially available).


**Resistance:** Boray and De Bono (1989) surveyed farms and found resistance of 6 week old fluke to the salicylanilides *rafoxanide* and *closantel*, but these fluke were susceptible when they became adults (8-10 weeks after infection). Fairweather and Boray (2011), citing Boray and De Bono (1989), stated there were *rafoxanide* and *closantel* resistant *F. hepatica* on sheep farms in endemic areas of Australia; these retaining their resistance status in cattle. (However, Kelley and others (2015; see Table 1), cite Novobilský and Höglund (2015; cattle, Sweden) as the one confirmed/reported case of *closantel* resistant *F. hepatica*. Perhaps it was, for cattle?). (In the Novobilský and Höglund (2015) case, a topical (pour on) formulation containing closantel and ivermectin was used. The authors state that, according to pharmacokinetic data, *closantel* in this topical formulation achieves higher concentrations in plasma than when administered orally or subcutaneously, ‘confirm(ing) that administration route cannot be associated with closantel failure in this study, but also observe that, for closantel, no comparison between the efficacy of per oral, subcutaneous and topic formulations has yet been available (2015). They further note that oral applications of TCBZ are often superior to pour-on applications (Hutchinson et al., 2009; Martin et al., 2009). (See also Sargent and others on variable efficacy of pour-on formulations between seasons (winter, spring, summer).

**TCBZ resistance:** The first reported case of TCBZ resistance in the world was from Victoria (Pyramid Hill), Australia (Overend and others, 1995). TCBZ resistance of *Fasciola hepatica* has been reported (peer-reviewed reports) in sheep and cattle in Australia. Before 2011, there were peer-reviewed reports of TCBZ resistance in livestock on only six properties in Australia, Scotland, Wales, The Netherlands, Spain, and the Republic of Ireland. Since then, TCBZ-resistance has been reliably reported in sheep or cattle on a further 24 properties in Northern Ireland, Scotland, Wales, Australia, New Zealand, Peru, and Argentina (Kelley and others, 2016). According to Elliot and others (2015), TCBZ resistance is now ‘widespread in cattle in southeastern Australia’. In a study of 15 dairy farms in Gippsland (a major dairy area), Victoria, these authors found 6 ex 15 farms had cattle infected with liver fluke. One farm had TCBZ resistance but clorsulon or oxyclozanide successfully removed TCBZ-resistant adult flukes.

In Australia, apart from flukicide resistance to TCBZ, resistance, according to Fairweather and Boray (1999), has also been found to *closantel* and *rafoxanide* (both salicylanilides), with cross-resistance to the phenol, nitroxynil (manifested in immature fluke, rarely in adults), but no resistance to oxyclozanide, although it is a salicylanilide. (Note that, nitroxynil is usually only registered with a claim of efficacy against adult fluke). According to the UK’s Veterinary Medicine Directorate, there are still no reports of resistance to oxyclozanide (as of Sept., 2016). Boray (2005b) states that, when TCBZ-resistance occurs, it is exhibited by all ages of fluke (2 weeks old and older) in the host.
Human infections with TCBZ-resistant *F. hepatica* have been reported in The Netherlands, Chile, Turkey, and Peru (Kelley and others, 2016).

**Prenatal infections:** Liver fluke have been found in Victoria in calves as young as 1-3 weeks old, which suggest prenatal infection had occurred (Rees and others, cited by Cole VG, 1986).

**Liver fluke age groups. Early immature:** 1–4 weeks, (migration stage, in the parenchyma (‘meaty’ or functional part) of the liver; **late immature:** 6–8 weeks (prepatent stage in bile ducts); **adult:** 12–14 weeks (bile duct stage) (Wood and others, 1995). There are obvious gaps in these definitions. Also occasionally authors refer to 10 and even 8 week old fluke as adults, with the younger age (8 weeks) generally/seemingly most often in the context of discussing liver fluke in small ruminants.

**Nitroxynil:** This is still registered as Trodax® for subcutaneous use in cattle in Australia, but is not currently available. However nitroxynil is available as a component of two injectable cattle products in combination with clorsulon in one of these products, and in combination with ivermectin + clorsulon, in the other. Both of these products are effective against fluke 2 weeks old and older. Nitroxynil is not effective if given orally (it is degraded by ruminal microorganisms), so is given by injection (Merck).

**Combinations and synergy:** Use of combinations, whether or not there is synergy between the individual actives, is believed to be helpful in managing resistance (at least in nematodes of sheep). As to synergy, Fairweather and Boray (1999) reported Australian studies on synergy between various unrelated actives against liver fluke. (Synergy: the cooperative action of two or more drugs, resulting in a different or greater response than that of the individual drugs).

Combinations for which Fairweather and Boray (1999) or Fairweather (2011) reported synergy:
- TCBZ + clorsulon, or closantel, or luxabendazole, or artemether, or artesunate, or oxfendazole.
- Nitroxynil + clorsulon, or closantel.
- Closantel + clorsulon, or luxabendazole (strong synergy), or oxfendazole (moderate synergy).

No synergy was found with closantel plus albendazole or closantel plus fenbendazole or TCBZ plus OZ78.

Fairweather (2011) also reports synergy of TCBZ plus ivermectin (IVM), and clorsulon plus IVM, with IVM not acting directly on fluke but as an inhibitor of P-glycoprotein (Pgp)-linked drug efflux pumps, over-expression of which has been linked to resistance to some anthelmintics.

Some drugs are no longer available (luxabendazole (Hoechst)) or are experimental (the artemisinins, artemether and artesunate; and OZ78). OZ78 (and MT04) are synthetic peroxides (Meister and others, 2013).

**More information on flukicides online**

There is more information (including PDF documents) on synergism and efficacy of flukicides, summarised in two tables, here: https://wormmailinthecloud.wordpress.com/2017/04/10/wrml-2017-04-10-flukicides-summaries-efficacies-synergistic-combinations-resistance-management/

Short link: [http://wp.me/pRGJe-1Jd](http://wp.me/pRGJe-1Jd)
Table 2. Diagnostic tests for liver fluke and their characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Sensitivity %</th>
<th>Specificity %</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Field investigation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>History, clinical signs and necropsy (post mortem examination)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detailed liver examination at abattoir</td>
<td>99&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>98&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Too costly for routine use. In this study&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;, 32% of animals were positive with the detailed test, and 29% with the routine test.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Routine liver examination at abattoir</td>
<td>63.2&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td>Based on one Swiss study (Rapsch and others, 2006). This may vary between abattoirs / countries.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faecal egg count</td>
<td>58 – 81&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>99&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Only detects adults. Little indication of size of fluke burden. High specificity with skilled operator (who reliably distinguishes liver fluke from stomach fluke eggs etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sELISA</td>
<td>72-94&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>76-89&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>sELISA = serum ELISA. Various antibody ELISAs. Detects early infections, from 4 weeks post-infection. Antibody to liver fluke may be detectable for up to 12 weeks or more after a fluke infection is cleared. Cross-reactions with stomach fluke (Calicophoron).&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulk milk ELISA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Various antibody ELISAs; milk. Commonly used in dairy herds around the world. Indicates fluke burdens are low, medium or high.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cELISA</td>
<td>77 – 81&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>99&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>cELISA = Coproantigen ELISA. Detects antigen (a protease) in faeces from fluke in bile ducts. No cross-reaction with stomach fluke (Calicophoron).&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver enzymes in serum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GGT and GLDH: non-specific indicators of liver damage.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Sensitivity: ability to detect true positives (high sensitivity means few false negatives). Specificity: ability to detect true negatives (high specificity means few false positives). References: 1Mazeri and others, 2016 (study on cattle; Scotland); 2Williams and others, 2014; 3Woodgate and others, 2016; 4Rapsch and others, 2006, cited by Mazeri and others, 2016. ELISA: enzyme-linked immunoabsorbent assay. Study by Mazeri and others, 2016: This study was done on 619 naturally infected cattle, of various breeds, and ages (369-1121 days old; mean 720 days old). These were slaughtered at a large Scottish abattoir, at three different periods (summer 2014, winter, 2014 and autumn 2014). Tests included detailed liver examination (necropsy) including gall bladder egg count (overall, 32% were positive), faecal egg counting, a commercially available coproantigen (c) ELISA (Bio-X, Belgium), and an in-house serum excretory/secretory antibody (s) ELISA, and routine abattoir liver examination (overall, 29% were positive). Their results suggested the cELISA, unlike the sELISA, did not cross-react with stomach (rumen) fluke (Calicophoron daubneyi) parasites. In livers where fluke were found, the number of fluke ranged from 1 to 86, mean 8.5, median 4.
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